

## Regulatory Panel (Planning) 5<sup>th</sup> February 2026

### Amendment to Addendum Report 23/00472/DEEM – Proposed Knockodhar Wind Farm

This is a cover note making Members aware of changes that have been made to the above report. These changes relate to paragraphs 5.3, 5.4 (in relation to Landscape and Visual) and 5.27 (in relation to Private Water Supply) of the report.

#### Paragraph 5.3

**Replacement Text** - AI Report Chapter 7 – Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment examined the new turbine locations and concluded there were no material consequence in terms of Landscape and Visual Impact. The Council is in agreement with this assessment.

*(Original text - AI Report Chapter 7 – Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment examined the new turbine locations and concluded there were no material consequence in terms of Landscape and Visual Impact).*

#### Paragraph 5.4

**Replacement Text** - Three of the relocated turbines are within the requested 75m micro-siting tolerance, with one turbine (T15) relocated by circa 100m to the east. The coordinates of the remaining 12 turbines are unchanged.

*(Original Text - Three of the relocated turbines are within the requested 75m micro-siting tolerance, with one turbine (T15) relocated by circa 100m to the east. The coordinates of the remaining 12 turbines are unchanged. It is unclear if the AI Report fully assessed the new positions as most are within the proposed micrositing distance. However, it is considered necessary to restrict further micrositing from both a landscape and visual perspective but also in relation to protection of nearby private water supplies (PWS)).*

#### Paragraph 5.27 – Private Water Supply

**Replacement Text** - Since the original assessment, 4 turbines (T4, T11, T12 and T15) have been micro-sited, as outlined above. The Council's Environmental Health Service originally offered no objection to the proposed development, this position based on the several thorough, professional risk assessments that had been undertaken in relation to PWS and subsequent mitigation instigated by these risk assessments. However, **it is considered that** the impact of the micro-siting on PWS has not been **fully** re-assessed and it is therefore considered prudent and necessary to attach a condition which restricts the micro-siting of T4, T11, T12 and T15 in order to protect PWS quality/quantity for human consumption.

*(Original Text - Since the original assessment, 4 turbines (T4, T11, T12 and T15) have been micro-sited, as outlined above. The Council's Environmental Health Service originally offered no objection to the proposed development, this position based on the several thorough, professional risk assessments that had been undertaken in relation to PWS and subsequent mitigation instigated by these risk assessments. However, the impact of the micro-siting on PWS has not been re-assessed and it is therefore considered prudent and necessary to attach a condition which restricts the micro-siting of T4, T11, T12 and T15 in order to protect PWS quality/quantity for human consumption).*